			\wedge K
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/024,387	VAN DUREN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Peter J Vrettakos	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	September 2003 .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
,	Claim(s) 77-94 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	☐ Claim(s) 77 and 84 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) 78-83 and 85-94 is/are objected to.	r election requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
, _ , _ ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
a)(1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ornal Patent Application (PTO-152) .

Application/Control Number: 10/024,387

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

The Applicant has adequately addressed in Amendment B dated 9-2-03 issues regarding the Specification, Double Patenting, Claims Objections, and 112 Rejections asserted in the previous Office Action.

Claims 1-76 are cancelled.

The below action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 77 and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shigezawa et al. ('020).

Shigezawa discloses an air hose (8 which includes 76, figure 10), an inflatable thermal device (4, figure 1), an inlet port (78, figure 10) and a mechanism (68 which includes 70, figure 10). Note that figure 10 depicts the mechanism (68) partially in the air hose (8 which includes 76).

Art Unit: 3739

Further, the usage of the device includes inflating the device (4) with pressurized air (col. 4:48-50). Lastly, the "mechanism" (68 which includes 70) acts to control the flow of pressurized air as disclosed when describing an analogous mechanism (12 which includes 44) in col. 5:33-43.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 77-94 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 78-83 and 85-94 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Vrettakos whose telephone number is 703 605 0215. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on 703 308 0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,387

Art Unit: 3739

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0858.

Pete Vrettakos October 29, 2003

PV

ROY DIGIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

RoyD. Sibson